

# Student Evaluation Questionnaire – Taught Doctoral Programs




A001721-21: protection and promotion of human rights at global and regional l...  
 AA: 2021/22 – Course owner: e.sommario  
 Internal teacher: null  
 Other teacher: none  
 Respondents: 4 – Questionnaire model: 330


Presidio della Qualità

## 1 – LEARNING EXPERIENCE


**RIS 1.1 – Was your prior knowledge appropriate when learning this PhD course topics?**




**RIS 1.2 – Is the workload appropriate?**




**RIS 1.3 – Is the learning material adequate?**




**RIS 1.4 – Do lecturers and teaching staff comply with punctuality and adhere to the course outline?**



**RIS 1.5 – The information on exam process and timetable is clear and easy to understand?**




**RIS 1.6 – Is the course content consistent with the expected learning outcomes?**




**2 – TEACHING**


**RIS 2.1 – Was the course taught by a single teaching person?**




**RIS 2.2 – Does the teaching person(s) present and teach the subjects clearly?**



**RIS 2.3 – Is the teaching person(s) available for extra support and explanations after class?**




**RIS 2.4 – Beyond the teaching person(s) provided in the finally approved syllabus, did other persons not included in the syllabus teach classes?**




### 3 – INTEREST

**RIS 3.1** – Has the teaching staff increased your interest in the course content?




### 4 – ACTIVE LEARNING


**RIS 4.1** – What were the teaching methods adopted?




**RIS 4.2** – Excluding frontal teaching, what percentage of the course workload was covered by other teaching methods?



**RIS 4.3** – Would you rate other teaching methods effective?



**RIS 4.4** – Would you rate the lab experience and/or hospital experience or field experience activities effective (in any)?



**RIS 4.5** – Would you rate the lab experience and/or hospital experience or field experience activities effectively integrated into the curriculum (if any)?


not enough answers  
not responding: 4, equal to 100% of total

## 5 – OVERALL EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

**RIS 5.1** – Overall, how would you rate this course?

	N	Perc.
positive	3	75.00
more positive than negative	1	25.00
more negative than positive	0	0.00
negative	0	0.00

not responding: 0, equal to 0% of total



**RIS 5.2** – How would you improve the quality of the course?

no answers

**RIS 5.3** – Comments and suggestions on all aspects of the program character(0)

## Outcome of the assessment

Condition A: soddisfatto

Condition B: soddisfatto

**The course meets the quality criteria**

The course meets the quality criteria if the following two conditions are met:

- question RIS 5.1 must have an average score greater than or equal to 7/10 (condition A)
- at least three of the questions RIS 1.2, RIS 1.3, RIS 2.2, RIS 2.3, RIS 4.3 must have an average score greater than or equal to 7/10 (condition B)